

From: [Meghan Krafska](#)
To: [City Clerk](#)
Subject: Redistricting Map Comment
Date: Tuesday, February 1, 2022 2:56:18 PM

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click on any links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Independent Redistricting Committee:

I have been a resident of Roseville for the last 15 years and currently serve the city on the Citizen's Sales & Use Tax Oversight Committee and serve as a Board Trustee for Roseville City School District. This background provides me with a vested interest that our city District map enables the City County to provide the best support for its citizens.

I would like to voice support for Map B-1. When I look at the map, I see logical boundaries that run across natural divides in the community. I can also see that the population is evenly spread throughout the districts without splitting up communities. The districts are also compact enough without abnormal carve outs. Please continue to show support for Map B-1 by approving it as the final map.

Thank you for your time and commitment on the Commission.

Meghan Krafska

February 2, 2022

To: Independent Redistricting Commission

My comments address the next 5 commission meetings. This is a story about a train starting with 23 cars. As a lifelong resident of Roseville, the railroad and trains had a big impact on me.

The train enters station number one on December 20, 2021 with 23 cars and maps where, after considering public comment, the commission sends the train on to station number 2 with 6 cars and 6 redistricting maps. The train makes 6 scheduled stops along the way for more public testimony on the 6 maps.

The train arrives at station number 2 on January 24, 2022, where after 7 hours of discussion, the commission forwards one car and one modified map to station 3, scheduled to arrive about February 27, 2022. The train will make brief stops at 5 locations to hear additional public testimony.

On February 27, 2022, the commission will vote to approve the one remaining map. This will be the redistricting map and plan for the next 10 years.

CAUTION: There will be attempts between station 2 and station 3 to derail the train. Do not let this happen.

1. Some will say the public did not have sufficient time to comment on the maps. This is not true because of the number of public hearings, even with telephone comments being accepted.
2. The "what if" argument. What if we modify one of the plans; could it be better than the one existing plan? That discussion was made at station 2 in quite some detail.
3. This is turning out just like the county process. The county did not have a process guided by charter provisions, so no this is not like the county.
4. The complaint will be that at least one map was guided by personal or political considerations. I ask the presenter of this theory to look into the mirror!
5. More legal experts will appear among commission members and the public. I would advise you have very competent legal staff in the person of Joe Mandell. Look to him for your legal opinions. He has given you expert advice to date.

There you have it, the story of the Roseville Train. Do not let it be derailed on the final leg of it's journey.

Richard Roccucci

CC: City Clerk, City Attorney

From: [Einar Maisch](#)
To: [City Clerk](#)
Subject: Comments
Date: Wednesday, February 2, 2022 4:33:21 PM

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click on any links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

To The Roseville Independent Redistricting Commission Chair and Commissioners:

Congratulations on the product of a lot of hard work. You were wise to recognize that there is no perfect map. Map B-1, selected as the Draft Final Map, is clear, rational, does a good job of meeting the Charter criterion, and is a fair compromise between the diverse interests expressed over months of public comment.

Map B-1 has 5 compact districts that maximize common interests among the residents of each district as much as practical given population and geographic constraints. Within each of the districts, properties have similar periods of development and City infrastructure financing arrangements, and residents generally use the same roads, parks, schools and neighborhood commercial facilities. The Draft Final Map also keeps more existing residents within their current district than of any of the alternatives, minimizing adverse voting issues for residents in the 2022 election.

Everyone recognizes that each of the districts have some split communities on their borders. However, after reviewing 25 original maps, and about 9 additional variations, most people understand that splitting some neighborhoods is necessary to meet the objectives listed above. Hence, the compromise; not the perfect.

The Draft Final Map the Commission has selected is a fair compromise and will serve the City and its residents well for the next 10 years.

Lastly, thank you all for your public service.

Einar Maisch